PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

DÜNNWALD, Dieter

Clariant International Ltd

Rothausstrasse 61 CH-4132 Muttenz 1 SUISSE

RECEIVED 1 0. Feb. 2006

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

08.02.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

2004CH003

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000569

International filing date (day/month/year) 02.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

17.03.2004

Applicant

CLARIANT INTERNATIONAL LTD et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ullrich, J

Tel. +49 89 2399-8048



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1	licant's or agent's file 04CH003	reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
	International application No. PCT/IB2005/000569		International filing date 02.03.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.03.2004
1	mational Patent Clas 7F9/09	sification (IPC) or na	tional classification and	PC	
Appl CLA		IATIONAL LTD	et al.		
1.	This report is the Authority under	e international prel Article 35 and tran	iminary examination re smitted to the applicar	eport, established by nt according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining 36.
2.	This REPORT o	onsists of a total o	f 5 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.	
3.	This report is als	o accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:	
			the International Bure		
	and/c	ts of the description or sheets containin inistrative Instruction	ig rectifications authori	ngs which have been zed by this Authority	amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	beyo	ts which supersed nd the disclosure i lemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but w in the international app	hich this Authority co dication as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	sequence	e listing and <i>l</i> or tabl	ureau only) a total of (i es related thereto, in c Listing (see Section 80	computer readable for	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a monly, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).
4.	This report conta	ains indications rel	ating to the following it	ems:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the opin	ion		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishme	nt of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	e step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	nvention		•
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned staten applicability; cital	nent under Article 35(2 tions and explanations	 with regard to nove supporting such stat 	lty, inventive step or industrial ement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documer			
	☐ Box No. VII		n the international app		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observati	ions on the internation	al application	
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of	this report
06.1	06.12.2005			08.02.2006	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			1	Authorized Officer	_
prelin	European I D-80298 M Tel. +49 89	Patent Office	6 epmu d	Richter, H Telephone No. +49 89	2399-

IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 SEP 2006 10/593169

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000569

	· · · · ·	
	Box No. I	I Basis of the report
1.	With rega filed, unle	ard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wess otherwise indicated under this item.
	which □ int □ pu	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, h is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: International search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Internation of the international application (under Rule 12.4) International preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	have beel	ard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whiten furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Descriptio	on, Pages
	1-13	as originally filed
	Claims, Nu	umbers
	1-9	as originally filed
	□ a seq	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ne description, pages ne claims, Nos. ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	had not be Suppleme	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). The description, pages the claims, Nos. The drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): The sequence listing (specify): The proof of the amendments annexed to this report and listed below the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	* Tf ii	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "gypongodod"

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000569

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-4,6-9

No:

1,5

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

6,9

No:

2-4,7,8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

1AP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 SEP 2006 10/593169

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000569

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DATABASE CHEMABS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 1994, WACHI, TOSHIO ET AL: "Preparation of phosphate triesters" XP002289140 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1994:54693
 - D2: CARIES RESEARCH, 25(1), 51-7 CODEN: CAREBK; ISSN: 0008-6568, 1991, XP009034124
 - D3: WO 02/08164 A (BASF AG; MAAS HEIKO (DE); TROPSCH JUERGEN (DE)) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)
 - D4: WO 03/091192 A (BASF AG; RULAND ALFRED (DE); BOEHN ROLAND (DE); HACKMANN CLAUS (DE);) 6 November 2003 (2003-11-06)
 - D5: DE 203 03 420 U1 (SASOL GERMANY GMBH) 30 October 2003 (2003-10-30)
- 2. D1, see RN 151834-99-0, Field "CN" und fig., already discloses a compound according to formula I, in which the variables have the following meanings:

m = 3

n = 2 oder 3

x = 5

s = 1

r = 3

r + s = 4

Claim 1, therefore, lacks novelty over D1.

D2, page 52, left hand column "Alkyl Phosphates" describes the phosphoric acid

monoester of tetraethylenglykol-mono-(2-ethylhexyl)etherr und das disodiumsalt (E) therof and at page 53 tables 1 and 2 tooth protection agents against streptokokkus consisting of (E) and distilled water or (E), dist. water and non-ionic surfaceactive agent.

The free acid of (E) meets the variables of claim 1:

m = 1

n = 2

x = 4

s = 1

r = 3

r + s = 4

Hence, claims 1 und 5 lack novelty over D2.

D3-D5 are no anticipations. D3 discloses alcohol residues which are different from formula II; D4 is concerned with guerbet oligoalkoxylate but does not disclose phosphate esters thereof. D5 only discloses a broader generic definition of phosphateesters of guerbet oligoalkoxilates. In contrast thereto the novel compounds of formula I according to claim 1 are novel as being a selection.

3. Compounds known from D1 and D2 can be prepared and used in analogy to known processes from (see the search report for the specific disclosures). Hence, claims 3,4,8 are obvious over the combination D1/D3 or D2/D3. Furthermore, preparation and use of still new compounds is obvious over the combination of D5 (page 11, lines 20-26) or D4 (example 1) with D3 (example 7, claims 12 and 19). Hence, in addition to the novelty objection claims 1-5, 7 and 8 also obvious.